UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,548	11/24/2003	Jonathan Richard Thorpe	282550US8X	4570
22850 7590 05/02/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			CAO, PHUONG THAO	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2164	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	•					
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,548	THORPE, JONATHAN RICHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuong-Thao Cao	2164				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe	ebruary 2007.					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8 and 10-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-13 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _					

Application/Control Number: 10/720,548 Page 2

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to Amendment filed on 2/16/2007.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 10-12 have been amended. Claims 3 and 9 have been cancelled. Currently, claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-13 are pending.
- 3. Amendment is effective to overcome double patenting rejection relating to copending application 10/536,580 in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the user define video image" should be "the user defined video image". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,548 Page 3

Art Unit: 2164

7. Claim 1, 2, 4-6 and 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, language "operable to" (line 9, line 18 and line 20) raises question as

to whether the recited component performs the recited step. It is unclear regarding the metes and

bounds of the claimed invention. Language "configured to" is suggested to replace "operable

to".

Similarly, language "operable to" used in claim 2 (line 2 and line 4), claim 5 (line 2) and

claim 6 (line 2) should be corrected.

Claim 2 is rejected as incorporating the deficiencies of claim 1 upon which it depends.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the search processor" in line 4. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2164

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endou et al. (Publication No US 2002/0105541) in view of Wolff (US Patent No 5,847,708).

As to claim 1, Endou et al. teaches:

"An information retrieval apparatus for searching a set of information items and displaying results of the search using a self-organizing map" (see Abstract and [0096]), the apparatus comprising:

"a graphical user interface operable to display a representation of at least some of the information items as a n-dimensional array of display points within the self-organizing map with a display area, the information items each having a set of characterizing information features which include data representative of one or more video images" (see Fig. 4, [0070], [0076], [0098] and [0099] wherein feature values associated with each information set as disclosed is equivalent to Applicant's "characterizing information features"),

"processor configured to train the self-organizing map, using color histograms for each video image, to an effect that the color histogram representing the video image of the information item when applied to an input of the self-organizing map as a feature vector identifies one of a plurality of output nodes, the output nodes being arranged to identify points within the self-organizing map" (see [0098], [0099] and [0112] for the disclosure of the self-

Art Unit: 2164

organizing map as learning method wherein a high-dimensional feature vector space is mapped to a low-dimensional space (as training the self-organizing map), the self-organizing map processed based on feature values including color histogram for image media information (as video image)),

"a user control operable in response to a user input to select a video image of an information item" (see [0117]), and

"a search processor operable" (see [0134])

"to form a color histogram of the user selected video image" (see [0063]-[0064] wherein color histogram is a feature value extracted from image information; also see [0068] for HIS color histogram feature value calculating function),

"to generate a user defined feature vector from the user selected video image using the color histogram" (see [0067] for a method to regard the feature value (i.e., color histogram) as vector; also see [0098] wherein each data is represented by a feature vector),

"to search the set of information items by applying the user defined feature vector to the input of the self-organizing map to identify information items which include video images having color histograms corresponding to that of the user defined video image" (see [0098] for disclosure of data having similar feature vectors arranged close to each other and in particular images having similar color information (as color histogram) arranged close to each other [0112]).

Endou et al. do not teach "a search processor operable to perform a related search with respect to the user selected video image by identifying, from the self-organizing map,

information items which correspond to positions in the array which are neighbouring positions with respect to the array position corresponding to the user selected information item".

Wolff teaches "a search processor operable to perform a related search with respect to the user selected video image by identifying, from the self-organizing map, information items which correspond to positions in the array which are neighbouring positions with respect to the array position corresponding to the user selected information item" (see [column 10, lines 30-45 and 52-60] wherein a search for documents which are similar to the icon when user selects the icon is equivalent to Applicant's "related search" and similarity metrics of nearby icons, which must be identified to create a search query as described, is equivalent to information items identified from the map as in Applicant's claim language).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Endou et al. by the teaching of Wolff, since Endou et al. and Wolff pursue a system which uses a self-organizing map as a technique for retrieving and searching for information and adding a feature of perform a related search as disclosed provide users with more flexible and effective way to search for information using the map.

As to claim 2, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Endou et al. as modified teaches:

"wherein the search processor is operable to search the set of information items in accordance with a search query and to identify information items corresponding to the search query, and the search processor is operable to generate the self-organizing map data of

information items identified as a result of the search on the search query" (see [0088], [0099] and [0117]).

As to claim 4, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Endou et al. as modified teaches:

"wherein a number of dimension n is two and a position in the array is defined by x, y coordinates" (see [0076] and Fig. 4 wherein dimension of XY plane is two and a position in XY plane is defined by x, y coordinates).

As to claim 5, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 4 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Endou et al. as modified does not teach:

"wherein the search processor is operable to perform a related search with respect to the user selected video image by identifying information items which correspond to positions in the array which are with a radius of positions from the array position corresponding to the user selected video image".

Wolff teaches "wherein the search processor is operable to perform a related search with respect to the user selected video image by identifying information items which correspond to positions in the array which are within a radius of positions from the array position corresponding to the user selected information item" (see [column 10, lines 40-60] wherein the circle radius can be interpreted as the specificity of the desired search as claimed).

Art Unit: 2164

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Endou et al. by the teaching of Wolff, since Endou et al. and Wolff pursue a system which uses a map as a technique for retrieving and searching for information and adding a feature of perform a related search as disclosed provide users with more flexible and effective way to search for information using the map.

As to claim 7, Endou et al. teaches:

"A method for searching a set of information items and displaying results of the search using a self-organizing map" (see Abstract and [0096]), the apparatus comprising:

"displaying a representation of at least some of the information items as a n-dimensional array of display points within the self-organizing map with a display area, the information items each having a set of characterizing information features which include data representative of one or more video images" (see Fig. 4, [0070], [0076], [0098] and [0099] wherein feature values associated with each information set as disclosed is equivalent to Applicant's "characterizing information features"),

"training the self-organizing map, using color histograms for each video image, to an effect that the color histogram representing the video image of the information item when applied to an input of the self-organizing map as a feature vector identifies one of a plurality of output nodes, the output nodes being arranged to identify points within the self-organizing map" (see [0098], [0099] and [0112] for the disclosure of the self-organizing map as learning method wherein a high-dimensional feature vector space is mapped to a low-dimensional space (as

Art Unit: 2164

training the self-organizing map), the self-organizing map processed based on feature values including color histogram for image media information (as video image),

"selecting a video image of an information item in response to a user input" (see [0117]), and

"forming a color histogram of the user selected video image" (see [0063]-[0064] wherein color histogram is a feature value extracted from image information; also see [0068] for HIS color histogram feature value calculating function),

"generating a user defined feature vector from the user selected video image using the color histogram" (see [0067] for a method to regard the feature value (i.e., color histogram) as vector; also see [0098] wherein each data is represented by a feature vector),

"searching the set of information items by applying the user defined feature vector to the input of the self-organizing map to identify information items which include video images having color histograms corresponding to that of the user defined video image" (see [0098] for disclosure of data having similar feature vectors arranged close to each other and in particular images having similar color information (as color histogram) arranged close to each other [0112]).

Endou et al. do not teach "performing a related search with respect to the user selected video image by identifying, from the map, information items which correspond to positions in the array which are neighbouring positions with respect to the array position corresponding to the user selected video image".

Wolff teaches "performing a related search with respect to the user selected video image by identifying, from the self-organizing map, information items which correspond to positions in

Art Unit: 2164

the array which are neighbouring positions with respect to the array position corresponding to the user selected information item" (see [column 10, lines 30-45 and 52-60] wherein a search for documents which are similar to the icon when user selects the icon is equivalent to Applicant's "related search" and similarity metrics of nearby icons, which must be identified to create a search query as described, is equivalent to information items identified from the map as in Applicant's claim language).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Endou et al. by the teaching of Wolff, since Endou et al. and Wolff pursue a system which uses a self-organizing map as a technique for retrieving and searching for information and adding a feature of perform a related search as disclosed provide users with more flexible and effective way to search for information using the map.

As to claim 8, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 7 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Endou et al. as modified teaches:

"searching information items in accordance with a search query" (see [0088] wherein selection criterion is equivalent to <u>Applicant</u>'s "search query"),

"identifying information items corresponding to the search query" (see [0088]), and "generating the self-organizing map of information items identified as a result of the searching the information items in accordance with the search query" (see [0099] and [0117]).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 10, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 7 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Endou et al. as modified teaches:

"wherein a number of dimension n is two and a position in the array is defined by x, y coordinates" (see [0076] and Fig. 4 wherein dimension of XY plane is two and a position in XY plane is defined by x, y coordinates).

As to claim 11, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 10 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Endou et al. as modified does not teach:

"wherein the performing the related search comprises performing a related search with respect to the user selected video image by identifying information items which correspond to positions in the array which are with a radius of positions from the array position corresponding to the user selected video image".

Wolff teaches "wherein the performing the related search comprises performing a related search with respect to the user selected video image by identifying information items which correspond to positions in the array which are within a radius of positions from the array position corresponding to the user selected information item" (see [column 10, lines 40-60] wherein the circle radius can be interpreted as the specificity of the desired search as claimed).

As to claim 12, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 11 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Art Unit: 2164

Endou et al. as modified teaches:

"selecting including providing the user with a facility for specifying the radius of positions in accordance with a relative similarity of the information to be searched by search processor in the related search, with respect to the array position of interest" (see [0088] wherein a selection criterion includes similarity information with respect to a specific information set).

As to claim, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 10 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Endou et al. as modified does not teach:

As to claim 13, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 7 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Endou et al. as modified does not teach:

"a storage medium providing computer software having program code, which when executed on a computer causes the computer to carrying out a method according to claim 7" (see [0027]).

10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endou et al. (Publication No US 2002/0105541) in view of Wolff (US Patent No 5,847,708) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lin et al. ("A Self-organizing Sematic Map for Information Retrieval", 1991).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 6, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Endou et al. and Wolff do not teach:

"wherein the user control is operable to provide the user with a facility for specifying a number of neighbouring positions in accordance with a relative similarity of the information items to be searched by the search processor in the related search, with respect to the array position of interest".

Lin et al. as modified teach:

"wherein the user control is operable to provide the user with a facility for specifying a number of neighbouring positions in accordance with a relative similarity of information items to be search by the search processor in the related search, with respect to the array position of interest" (see [page 266, column 1, paragraph 7] and Fig. 4a-c wherein the function of selecting nodes by drawing a rectangular region of nodes from the map is equivalent to Applicant's claim language and drawing a region of choice including any specific number of neighboring nodes around the node of interest).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Endou et al. and Wolff by the teaching of Lin et al., since Endou et al., Wolff and Lin et al. pursue a system which uses a self-organizing map as a technique for retrieving and searching for information and adding a feature of perform a related search as disclosed provide users with more flexible and effective way to search for information using the map.

Art Unit: 2164

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong-Thao Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-2735.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM (Mon - Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,548 Page 15

Art Unit: 2164

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Prc. Phuong-Thao Cao Art Unit 2164 April 25, 2007

Primary L- Ramin

ARF Unit 216Z